

---

# CONTENTS

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Résumé substantiel</b>	<b>ix</b>
Chapitre 1 . . . . .	ix
Chapitre 2 . . . . .	xi
Chapitre 3 . . . . .	xvi
Chapitre 4 . . . . .	xviii
<b>Aristotle’s texts: list of abbreviations</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Short Contents</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>General introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
Overview of the dissertation . . . . .	1
Principles of the dialogical approach to logic . . . . .	2
The dialogical framework vs. other frameworks . . . . .	5
The dialogical approach to Aristotle’s logic . . . . .	9
The dissertation in context . . . . .	11
<b>1 Interpretation biases of modern standpoints on Aristotle’s logic</b>	<b>15</b>
Introduction . . . . .	16
1.1 History of modern formalizations . . . . .	18
1.1.1 Major developments of modern formalization . . . . .	20
1.2 First approach of method . . . . .	23
1.2.1 Sainati on the hermeneutical and logical traditions . . . . .	23
1.2.2 Łukasiewicz on syllogisms as implications . . . . .	25
1.2.3 Sainati’s objection against Łukasiewicz . . . . .	28
1.3 Interpretation and reconstruction . . . . .	30
1.3.1 Corcoran, a faithful model is logical and historical . . . . .	31
1.3.2 Corcoran and coloring the judgment . . . . .	35

1.3.3	Ebbinghaus, reconstructing helps understanding . . . . .	38
1.3.4	Imbert makes the circularity problem clear . . . . .	41
1.3.5	Marion, the circularity problem is not an argument . . . . .	48
1.4	Case studies . . . . .	51
1.4.1	Reading the texts with bias (Hudry) . . . . .	52
1.4.2	Justifying the logical framework (Andrade-Lotero and Dutilh Novaes) . . . . .	57
1.4.3	Syntax and interpretation (Glashoff) . . . . .	61
1.4.4	Circular arguments (Malink) . . . . .	63
	Conclusion: Separating interpretation and formalization . . . . .	68
<b>2</b>	<b>Syllogistic and dialectic</b> . . . . .	<b>75</b>
	Introduction . . . . .	76
	Monological and dialogical approaches . . . . .	77
2.1	The <i>sullogismos</i> from the monological and the dialogical approaches . . . . .	84
2.1.1	The purpose of the <i>APr.</i> and the <i>sullogismos</i> . . . . .	84
2.1.2	Outline of the two approaches to syllogistic . . . . .	89
2.2	The monological approach to syllogistic . . . . .	92
2.2.1	From premises to conclusion, a syntactic approach . . . . .	92
2.2.2	Perfect figures and <i>dictum</i> , a semantic level . . . . .	104
2.3	The dialogical approach to syllogistic . . . . .	108
2.3.1	Universal quantification as absence of counterexample . . . . .	110
2.3.2	Objections at the heart of the dialogical approach . . . . .	125
2.3.3	Analysis and the <i>pons asinorum</i> . . . . .	142
	Conclusion . . . . .	158
<b>3</b>	<b>Science and the dialectician's activity</b> . . . . .	<b>163</b>
	Introduction . . . . .	164
3.1	Dialectic in science, the problem of <i>endoxa</i> . . . . .	166
3.1.1	Dialectic and science ( <i>Top.</i> I 2) . . . . .	167
3.1.2	Audiences targeted by the <i>Topics</i> . . . . .	169
3.1.3	Dialectic and <i>endoxa</i> . . . . .	171
3.1.4	Starting-points of dialectic vs. demonstration . . . . .	180
	Recapitulation . . . . .	196
3.2	Dialectic prepares the mind . . . . .	196
3.2.1	Scientific inquiry and dialectical training . . . . .	197
3.2.2	Dialectic between psychology and procedure . . . . .	208
3.2.3	How to seek the unknown? . . . . .	221
3.2.4	<i>Aporiai</i> prepare the mind . . . . .	223
3.3	Various dialectical tools used when searching for truth . . . . .	235
3.3.1	The principles of physics ( <i>Physics</i> I 2–7) . . . . .	236
3.3.2	The infinite ( <i>Physics</i> III 4–6) . . . . .	253
3.3.3	Place ( <i>Physics</i> IV 1–4) . . . . .	259

3.3.4	Could scientific inquiry use dialectical tools without being dialectical? . . . . .	268
3.4	Dialectic as the content-determining pre-causal inquiry . . . . .	272
3.4.1	A pre-causal stage called <i>historia</i> . . . . .	272
3.4.2	Dialectic determines extensions . . . . .	281
	Conclusion: the dialogical approach to Aristotle . . . . .	297
<b>4</b>	<b>Syllogistic in Immanent Reasoning</b>	<b>303</b>
	Introduction . . . . .	304
	Choosing Immanent Reasoning over other dialogical frameworks . . .	311
4.1	The statements for syllogistic in Immanent Reasoning . . . . .	314
4.1.1	Elementary statements . . . . .	315
4.1.2	Complex statements . . . . .	317
4.1.3	Quantification: choosing the instance . . . . .	320
4.2	The game rules for syllogistic in Immanent Reasoning . . . . .	324
4.2.1	The particle rules for syllogistic . . . . .	325
4.2.2	Structural rules for ecthesis . . . . .	333
4.2.3	The level of strategies in syllogistic . . . . .	339
4.3	Syllogistic through dialogical ecthesis . . . . .	340
4.3.1	Constructing the first figure syllogisms . . . . .	341
4.3.2	Constructing the conversions . . . . .	356
4.3.3	Constructing second figure syllogisms . . . . .	363
4.3.4	Constructing third figure syllogisms . . . . .	368
4.4	Syllogistic through indirect deduction . . . . .	375
4.4.1	Structural rules for indirect deductions . . . . .	376
4.4.2	Conversions through indirect deductions . . . . .	377
4.4.3	The first figure through indirect deductions . . . . .	385
4.4.4	The second figure through indirect deductions . . . . .	389
4.4.5	The third figure through indirect deductions . . . . .	396
4.5	Reducing the second and third figures to the first . . . . .	404
4.5.1	Conversion structural rules . . . . .	404
4.5.2	Reductions to first figure . . . . .	412
4.5.3	Reducing second figure moods . . . . .	413
4.5.4	Reducing third figure moods . . . . .	420
	<b>General conclusion</b>	<b>431</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix – the invalid moods of the three figures</b>	<b>435</b>
A.1	Synoptic view of the invalid moods of the three figures . . . . .	435
A.2	Plays for the invalid moods of the three figures . . . . .	441
A.2.1	Plays for the invalid moods of the first figure . . . . .	441
A.2.2	Plays for the invalid moods of the second figure . . . . .	457
A.2.3	Plays for the invalid moods of the third figure . . . . .	473

---

<b>Editions of the Greek texts</b>	<b>485</b>
<b>Primary references</b>	<b>487</b>
<b>Other references</b>	<b>489</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>501</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>507</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>509</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>513</b>